BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

T	41	1 / - ++	- C.
ın	rne	Matter	OI:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

REDLANDS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2015080541

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE

On November 2, 2015, District filed a request to continue the dates in this matter. District's reason was that its primary representative, the East Valley SELPA Program Manager, was also the primary representative for a different district that had a different hearing pending on the same dates in OAH Case No. 2015060423. On November 5, Student opposed, objecting to the dates District requested. As discussed below, good cause has not been shown for the continuance, thus the motion is denied.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

OAH has reviewed the request for good cause and considered all relevant facts and circumstances. The request is:

Denied. All prehearing conference and hearing dates are confirmed and shall proceed as calendared. The pendency of two matters on calendar is such a frequent occurrence that it cannot serve as the sole justification for a continuance, especially

since if both matters proceed to hearing and the SELPA program manager cannot attend both, District may choose a different individual to represent it at hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: November 06, 2015

/s/

JUNE R. LEHRMAN
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings